

9000169

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE; PRESENTS; SHALL, COME; University of Illinois

Colhereus, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR ANALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLI-CANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen Years from the date of this grant, subject TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EX-CLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, R IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT LETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. United States seed of this variety (1) shall be sold by variety name only as OF CERTIFIED SEED AND (2) SHALL CONFORM TO THE NUMBER OF GENERATIONS BY THE OWNER OF THE RIGHTS. (84 STAT.-1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

SOYBEAN

'Kunitz'

In Lestimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Blant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this 28th day of

the year of our Lord one thousand nine

hundred and ninety-two.

Plant Variety Protection Office

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Office, OIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, D.C. 20250; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB #0581-0055), Washington, 20250. FORM APPROVED: OMB 0581-0055, Expires 1/31/91

| U.S. DEPARTMENT OF A AGRICULTURAL MARKET | ING SERVICE | | | Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---|
| APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY (Instructions on I | | N CERTIFICAT | E | certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421) Information is held confidential unti- certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426). |
| NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate) | | 2. TEMPORARY DESK | | 3. VARIETY NAME |
| University of Illinois | | L81-4590 |). | Kunitz |
| 4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP) | | 5. PHONE (Include are | e code) | FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY |
| 211 Mumford Hall | | | 577 | PVPO NUMBER |
| 1301 W. Gregory | • | 217-333-0 | 240 i | |
| Urbana, IL 61801 | | | l l | 9000169 |
| | | | ľ | F Date |
| | | | 1 | 1 May 14,1990 |
| | 7. FAMILY NAME (Botan | ical) | | Time 7 |
| Glycine max (L.) Merr. | Fabaceae | | L | G A.M. P.M. |
| 8. CROP KIND NAME (Common Name) | . | DATE OF DETERMINATIO | N | F Filing and Examination Fee: |
| soybean | | December 19 | | E :2150 |
| <u> </u> | | | · . | S Date |
| 10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORGAN | IZATION (Corporation, pa | rtnership, association, etc., | · . | may 14, 1990 |
| educational institution | | | | C Certificate Fee; |
| 11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION | 12. D | ATE OF INCORPORATION | | · 250. |
| • | | | | E Jan. 29, 1992 |
| 13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO | SERVE IN THIS ARRIVAT | ION AND DECEME ALL DA | DEBC L | 0 / |
| D. A. Holt, Director, Illinois Agric | | | | |
| 211 Mumford Hall | arcarar Expe | i illient Jederol | | |
| 1301 W. Gregory | | | | |
| Urbana, IL 61801 | * . | | 33-0240 | |
| 14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED (Folio | w INSTRUCTIONS on reve | rse) | clude area code) | 2 |
| a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety. | | | | |
| b. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement. | | • | | |
| c. Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety. | | | | |
| d. Exhibit D. Additional Description of Variety. | | | | |
| e Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership |). | | | |
| Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date Seed S | Sample mailed to Plant | Variety Protection Office | · | <u> </u> |
| g. Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,150) made payable to "Tr | easurer of the United S | tates." | | |
| 15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOL Protection Act.) | D BY VARIETY NAME ON | Y AS A CLASS OF CERTIF | IED SEED? (See | section 83(a) of the Plant Variety |
| YES (If "YES." answer items 16 and 17 belo | | VO," skip to item 18 below) | | |
| 18. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS? | 0 17. IF "YES" 1 | O ITEM 18, WHICH CLASS | ES OF PRODUC | TION BEYOND BREEDER SEED? |
| X YES | : | UNDATION | REGISTE | RED CERTIFIED |
| | ! | | | |
| 18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE VAR | HETY IN THE U.S.? | • | · | • |
| YES (If "YES," through Plant Variety Protection Act | Palent Act. Give da | ite:) | | |
| X NO | | • | | |
| 10. HAG THE VACIETY DEEN DELEACED LIGED ASSESSMENT | | | , | |
| 19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR MA | | OTHER COUNTRIES? | | • |
| YES (If "YES," give names of countries and dates) U.S. | (Dec. 1989) | • | | |
| ∐ NO | • | | | |
| The service of the se | 1 0.14 | | | |
| 20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic see request in accordance with such regulations as may be applied. | ds of this variety wil | l be furnished with th | e application | and will be replenished upon |
| The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this s | | novel plant variety | and haliever | e) that the variety is distinct |
| uniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is entitled | to protection under t | he provisions of sections | on 42 of the Pl | ant Variety Protection Act. |
| Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation here | | | | |
| SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s)) | CAPACITY OR | TITLE | | DATE |
| 1/ DA | 1 | ·- | | |
| X Sulvoti | Were | to Ar | \$ | May 1,1990 |
| SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s)) | CAPACITY OR | TITLE | | DATE // |
| | | | | |

Kunitz soybean

Exhibit A. Origin and breeding history

Pedigree: Williams 82 (6) x PI 157.440.

Kunitz is a BC5 isoline of Williams 82 developed by backcrossing to Williams 82 to transfer the gene <u>ti</u> for no Kunitz trypsin inhibitor from PI 157.440. Williams or Williams 82 was the female parent in each cross. The breeding steps were as follows:

- 1977 field: cross-pollinated Williams x PI 157.440
- Fall greenhouse (gh): 2 F_1 plants were grown and crossed to Williams
- Spring gh.: 10 F₁ BC₁ plants were grown.
 - Lab: seeds from the F_1 plants were tested, and 3 plants were found to be \underline{Ti} \underline{ti}
- 1978 field: 3 F_2 BC₁ null plants were grown from chipped seeds (i.e. a seed chip was used for the lab analysis the rest of the seed was planted) and crossed to Williams
- Fall gh.: $5 ext{ F}_1 ext{ BC}_2$ plants were grown and crossed to Williams

 Lab: seeds from the 5 plants were tested and only 3 plants

 were $\underline{\text{Ti}} ext{ ti}$ (the other 2 were apparently selfs)
- Spring gh.: 9 F_1 BC₃ plants grown and crossed to Williams 82 Lab: seeds from the 9 plants were tested and 5 plants were found to be $\underline{\text{Ti}}$ $\underline{\text{ti}}$
- 1979 field: 49 F₁ BC₄ plants were grown, 19 from <u>Ti ti</u> parent Lab: 4 of the 19 were <u>Ti ti</u>
- Fall gh.: grew 4 F_2 null plants (\underline{ti} \underline{ti}) from chipped seeds from 3 of the \underline{Ti} \underline{ti} F_1 plants and crossed to Williams 82

- Spring gh.: grew 20 <u>Ti</u> <u>ti</u> F₁ BC₅ plants

 Lab: verified that the 20 were <u>Ti</u> <u>ti</u>
- 1980 field: F₂ BC₅, grew 20 F₁ plant progeny rows and harvested about 6 plants each for a total of 126 F₂ plants (12 plants were taken from one row which looked most Williams-like and Kunitz is the progeny of one of these)

 Lab: Tested seeds from 126 F₂ plants and found 15 nulls (titi)
- 1981 F_3 BC₅. 15 supposed <u>ti</u> <u>ti</u> F_3 lines grown in 1-row plots and 11 most Williams-like were selected and harvested
- 1982 F_4 BC₅. 11 lines tested in replicated yield tests in 2 fields at Urbana.
 - Lab: tested the 11 lines and found 3 all <u>Ti-a</u> <u>Ti-a</u>, 1 segregating, and 7 all <u>ti ti</u>.
- 1983 F₅ BC₅: 7 ti ti lines tested in replicated yield tests in 2 fields at Urbana and 3 in southern Illinois. 2 of the lines tended to shatter and 3 were segregating for phytophthora resistance. Of the remaining 2 lines L81-4590 was selected as most like Williams 82
- 1984, 1985 and 1988 L81-4590 field tested (8-reps in 1984, 2 in 1985, 2 in 1988) at Urbana with Williams and Williams 82
- 1985 L81-4590 released as germplasm for experimental use by USDA-ARS and Illinois Agricultural Experiment Station along with ti isolines of Amsoy 71 and Clark 63 and Ti-b and Ti-c trypsin inhibitor variants in backcross isolines of Williams.

1986 to 1989 Feeding trials with poultry and swine were conducted in the Department of Animal Sciences, University of Illinois. L81-4590 demonstrated commercial potential in swine finishing rations.

1989 L81-4590 released for commercial production under the name Kunitz.

Kunitz appears stable and uniform for seed composition and visible plant characteristics through five generations of selfing and during seed increase program.

Kunitz Soybean

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement

Kunitz is nearly isogenic to Williams 82. It differs from Williams 82 and all other U.S. commercial varieties in lacking the Kunitz trypsin inhibitor. This trait is controlled by the gene ti. Williams 82 has the allele Ti for the common type of Kunitz trypsin inhibitor. Kunitz differs from three other very similar varieties, Williams, Williams 79, and Winchester in genes controlling phytophthora resistant (Rps genes) in addition to the gene ti. Williams 79, Williams 82, and Winchester were developed by backcrossing to Williams and are nearly isogenic to it except as follows: Williams is rps1 rps3 Ti Williams 79 is Rps1 rps3 Ti Amiliams 79 is Rps1 Tps3 Ti Amiliams 82 is Rps1 Tps3 Ti Amiliams 79 is Rps1 Tps3 Ti Amiliams 82 Imps3 Ti Amiliams 82 Imps3

Exhibit E. Basis of Applicant's Ownership

Kunitz was developed at the Illinois Agricultural Experiment Station in the cooperative soybean research program between USDA-ARS and the University of Illinois. R. L. Bernard, an employee of USDA-ARS with a joint appointment with the University of Illinois during the development of Kunitz, and C. R. Cremeens, a USDA-ARS technician, conceived and managed the breeding program, growing the plants, cross pollinating, maintaining pedigree records, and conducting field tests at the Illinois Agricultural Experiment Station. All of the laboratory analyses for Kunitz trypsin inhibitor were

conducted by T. Hymowitz an employee of the University of Illinois.

EXHIBIT C (Soybean)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY SOYBEAN (Glycine max (L.) Merr.

| | ζ., | |
|---|--|--|
| NAME OF APPLICANT(S) | TEMPORARY DESIGNATION | VARIETY NAME |
| University of Illinois | L81-4590 | Kunitz |
| ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Coo 211 Mumford Hall 1301 W. Gregory Urbana, IL 61801 | de) | FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY PVPO NUMBER 9000169 |
| Choose the appropriate response which characterizes the va in your answer is fewer than the number of boxes provided | riety in the features described , place a zero in the first box w | below. When the number of significant digits then number is 9 or less (e.g., 0 9). |
| 1. SEED SHAPE: |) • | |
| 2 1 = Spherical (L/W, L/T, and T/W ratios = < 1.2) 3 = Elongate (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W = < 1.2) | T 2 = Spherical Flattened | (L/W ratio > 1.2; L/T ratio = < 1.2) (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W > 1.2) |
| 2. SEED COAT COLOR: (Mature Seed) | | |
| 1 = Yellow 2 = Green 3 = Brown | 4 = Black 5 = Other | (Specify) |
| 3. SEED COAT LUSTER: (Mature Hand Shelled Seed) | | |
| 2 = Shiny ('Nebs | oy'; 'Gasoy 17') | |
| 4. SEED SIZE: (Mature Seed) | | |
| 7 Grams per 100 seeds | | |
| 5. HILUM COLOR: (Mature Seed) | | |
| 6 1 = Buff 2 = Yellow 3 = Brown | 4 = Gray 5 = Imperfect Bla | 6 = Black 7 = Other (Specify) |
| 6. COTYLEDON COLOR: (Mature Seed) | | |
| 1 = Yellow 2 = Green | | |
| 7. SEED PROTEIN PEROXIDASE ACTIVITY: | | |
| 2 1 = Low 2 = High | | |
| 8. SEED PROTEIN ELECTROPHORETIC BAND: | | |
| 2 = Type A (SP1 ^a) $2 = \text{Type B (SP1^b)}$ | | |
| 9. HYPOCOTYL COLOR: | | |
| 1 = Green only ('Evans'; 'Davis') 2 = Green wir 3 = Light Purple below cotyledons ('Beeson'; 'Pickett 71') 4 = Dark Purple extending to unifoliate leaves ('Hodgson') | | 'Woodworth'; 'Tracy') |
| 10. LEAFLET SHAPE: | | |
| 3 = Ovate 3 = Ovate | 4 = Other (Specify) | 7 |

FORM LMGS-470-57 (2-82)

| 11. LEAFL | ET SIZE: | e struden i den jaar en | | |
|------------|---|-------------------------|--------------|--|
| 2 | 1 = Small ('Amsoy 71'; 'A5312') 2 = Medium ('Corsoy 79'; 'Gasoy 17') 3 = Large ('Crawford'; 'Tracy') | | | |
| 12. LEAF | COLOR: | The grant | | A South and the second of the second |
| 2 | 1 = Light Green ('Weber'; 'York') 2 = Medium Green ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxto 3 = Dark Green ('Gnome'; 'Tracy') | | | |
| 13. FLOWE | R COLOR: | | | |
| | 1 = White 2 = Purple 3 = White with purple throat | | | · · |
| 14. POD CO | DLOR: | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| | 1 = Tan 2 = Brown 3 = Black | | | |
| 15. PLANT | PUBESCENCE COLOR: | N 1 240. 1 | | |
| 2 | 1 = Gray 2 = Brown (Tawny) | | | |
| 16. PLANT | TYPES: | | | The state of the s |
| 2 | 1 = Siender ('Essex'; 'Amsoy 71') 2 = Intermediate ('Amcor'; 'Braxton') 3 = Bushy ('Gnome'; 'Govan') | | | |
| 17. PLANT | HARE STEM TERMINATION: | | . | |
| 3 | 1 = Determinate ('Gnome'; 'Braxton') 2 = Semi-Determinate ('Will') 3 = Indeterminate ('Nebsoy'; 'Improved Pelican') | | | |
| 18. MATUR | ITY GROUP: | · | ····· | |
| 06 | 1 = 000 | 7 = IV | 8 = V | • |
| 19. DISEAS | E REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant) | | | |
| BACTE | RIAL DISEASES: Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli var. sojensis) | | | |
| | Bacterial Blight (Pseudomonas glycinea) | | | |
| | | | | |
| لــــــا | Wildfire (Pseudomonas tabaci) | | | |
| FUNGAI | _ DISEASES: | | | |
| | Brown Spot (Septoria glycines) | | | |
| | Frogeye Leaf Spot (Cercospora sojina) | | | |
| 一 | Race 1 0 Race 2 0 Race 3 0 Race 4 0 Race 5 | Other | (Specify) | |
| | Target Spot (Corynespora cassiicola) | | • | |
| | Downy Mildew (Peronospora trifoliorum var. manshurica) | | | |
| 2 | Powdery Mildew (Microsphaera diffusa) | | | |
| | Brown Stem Rot <i>(Cephalosporium gregatum)</i> | | | |
| 0 | Stem Canker (Diaporthe phaseolorum var. caulivora) | | | 8 |

| 19. DISEAS | SE REACTION | i: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; | 1 = Susceptible; 2 | 2 = Resistant) (Co | ontinued) | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| FUN | GAL DISEASE | S: (Continued) | | | | ÷ | | 1 · 1 |
| | Pod and Sten | n Blight <i>(Diaporthe phaseo</i> | olorum var; sojae) | | | | | |
| | Purple Seed : | Stain <i>(Cercospora kikuchii)</i> |) | | | | | |
| 0 | Rhizoctonia | Root Rot (Rhizoctonia sol | lani) | | | | | |
| | Phytophthor | a Rot <i>(Phytophthora mega</i> | sperma var. sojae, |) | | | | |
| 2 | Race 1 | 2 Race 2 2 | Race 3 | 2 Race 4 | 2 Race 5 | 2 Race | 6 2 R | tace 7 |
| 2 | Race 8 | 2 Race 9 2 | Other (Specify) | . <u>10, 13, 14, 13</u> | | <u> </u> | 16 | |
| VIRA | L DISEASES: | | | | • | | | |
| 0 | Bud Blight (7 | obacco Ringspot Virus) | | | | | | |
| 0 | Yellow Mosai | ic (Bean Yellow Mosaic Vir | rus) | | | | | |
| 0 | Cowpea Mosa | nic (Cowpea Chlorotic Viru | 12) | | | | | |
| 0 | Pod Mottle (E | Bean Pod Mottle Virus) | | | | | | • |
| | | Soybean Mosaic Virus) | | | | | | |
| L <u>.</u> l | ATODE DISE | | | | | | | |
| | | Nematode (Heterodera gl | veinasi | | | | | |
| 0 | Race 1 | O Race 2 | Race 3 | Race 4 | Other (| Specify) | | |
| 0 | ı | ode (Hoplolaimus Colombi | كيما | / Itace 4 | Ott.er to | 3pecny) | | |
| <u></u> | | | | | | · | | |
| | | ot Knot Nematode (Meloid | | | | | | |
| | | ot Knot Nematode (Meloid | | | · · | | | |
| | | Knot Nematode <i>(Meloidog</i> | | | | , | | |
| | Reniform Ner | matode <i>(Rotylenchulus ren</i> | niformis) | | | | | |
| | OTHER DISE | ASE NOT ON FORM (Spe | ecify): | | | | | • |
| 20. PHYSIO | LOGICAL RE | SPONSES: (Enter 0 = Not | t Tested: 1 = Susc | rentible: 2 = Resis | tant) | | | |
| | | on Calcareous Soil | | , op. 1.03.2 | | | | |
| | | /) | | | | | | |
| L1 | | | | | | | <u>-</u> | |
| | | (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = | | Resistant) | | | | |
| | • | Beetle (Epilachna varivest | is) | | | | and the country of | en e a escapa en encapa de mandre en en en |
| | | opper <i>(Empoasca fabae)</i> | | | | | | |
| | Other <i>(Specify</i> | / | | | | | | |
| 22. INDICAT | TE WHICH VA | RIETY MOST CLOSELY | RESEMBLES TH | HAT SUBMITTE |). · | • | | |
| CHARA | ACTER | NAME OF V | ARIETY | CHAR | ACTER | ŅA | ME OF VARIET | Y |
| Plant Shap | | Williams 82 | | Seed Coa | t Luster | <u>Williams</u> | 82 | |
| Leaf Shap | | 11 | | Seed Size | | H | · | |
| Leaf Colo Leaf Size | r | H | | Seed Sha | | | | |
| LC01 3128 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | H | | Seedling | Pigmentation | 11 | | G |
| | | | | | | | | 9 |

23. GIVE DATA FOR SUBMITTED AND SIMILAR STANDARD VARIETY: Paired Comparison Data

| VARIETY | NO. OF DAYS MATURITY | PLANT LODGING SCORE | CM PLANT HEIGHT | LEAFLET SIZE | | SEED CONTENT | | SEED SIZE G/100 | NO. |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-------|--------------------|---------------|
| | | | | CM Width | CM Length | % Protein | % Oil | SEEDS | SEEDS/ POD |
| Submitted | 131 | 1.7 | 98 | * | * | 42.2 | 20.0 | 17.2 | * |
| Name of Similar Variety | 130 | 2.6 | 98 | * | * | 41.0 | 20.3 | 16.5 | * |

PUBLICATIONS USEFUL AS REFERENCE AIDS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM:

- 1. Caldwell, B.E., ed. 1973. Soybeans: Improvement, Production, and Uses. Amer. Soc. Agron. Monograph No. 16.
- 2. Buttery, B.R. and R.I. Buzzell. 1968. Peroxidase activity in seeds of soybean varieties. Crop Sci., 8: 722-725.
- 3. Hymowitz, T. 1973. Electrophoretic analysis of SBTI-A2 in the USDA soybean germplasm collection. Crop Sci., 13: 420-421.
- 4. Payne, R.C. and L.F. Morris. 1976. Differentiation of soybean cultivars by seedling pigmentation patterns. J. Seed Technol. 1: 1-19.
 - * These traits all appear to be indistinguishable from Williams or Williams 82.

Exhibit D, Additional Description of Kunitz Soybean Variety

Kunitz lacks the Kunitz trypsin inhibitor protein in its seeds. All other commercial varieties in the US contain this protein.

